



Invited Commentary

The Impact of Female Gender on Outcome Following Carotid Revascularisation

G.J. de Borst*, F.L. Moll

Department of Vascular Surgery, G04.129, University Medical Center Utrecht, PO Box 85500, 3508GA Utrecht, The Netherlands

Women at any age have a lower risk of stroke than men. However, because women on average live longer than men and the risk of stroke increases with advancing age, the burden of stroke is greater in women than in men.¹ The recognition of sex differences has raised awareness of the burden of cardiovascular disease in women in the last decade, giving further rise to analyses on intervention-type-specific outcome differences between sexes.²

In terms of long-term stroke prevention by carotid endarterectomy (CEA), women do not gain equivalent clinical benefit with respect to men for both symptomatic³ and asymptomatic carotid stenosis.⁴ The lower magnitude of benefit in women is most probably due to a (slightly) higher procedural risk as compared with men,⁵ combined with the lower natural history risk of stroke in women.

Bisdas et al. retrospectively assessed sex-differentiated in-hospital outcomes following CAS (Carotid Angioplasty with Stenting) or CEA in mainly asymptomatic patients,⁶ based on discharge data from the New York state on an impressive nearly 70 000 hospitalisations for carotid intervention over a decade. Asymptomatic men and women showed comparable outcomes after both procedures. Procedural stroke rates were higher after CAS as compared to CEA in all sub-groups (but only significantly different in symptomatic women). There was a twofold increase in stroke/mortality rate in symptomatic women undergoing CAS compared to those who had CEA, an observation confirmed by others.⁷ Of relevance, as stroke after CAS may occur for a relevant part in the days following revascularisation, the in-hospital analysis performed might significantly underestimate the event rate, especially in the CAS cohort.

Of note, especially in the light of the ongoing CREST (Carotid Revascularisation Endarterectomy Versus Stenting Trial) controversy, myocardial infarction (MI) showed not to be a main driver of the composite 'end' point independent of presenting neurological status for both sexes. Asymptomatic women were more prone to MI after both revascularisations than men, a finding confirmed in randomised data.⁸ Unfortunately, no clear definition of MI other than a discharge 'code 410' in any position could be provided. Furthermore, and highly surprisingly, no dedicated The International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification

(ICD-9-CM) procedural code was available for CAS (see legend Fig. 1). Influence of hospital annual volume on CAS and CEA outcomes remained unclear. Within women, only 2074 patients received CAS, and out of these, only 250 received stenting for a symptomatic lesion. Over a 10-year time frame, with statewide discharge data from (e.g.) 25 centres, the CAS experience for symptomatic lesions could have been only two per centre per year! The cut-off for hospital CAS volume was 17 procedures, but this was clearly reached predominantly by treating asymptomatic patients.

In any case, women have higher perioperative adverse events (i.e., stroke, combined death/stroke and MI) rates than men. The authors recommend that "these sex-associated differences should be taken into account for the treatment of carotid artery disease." But how? – for the time being, until data from the ongoing ACST2 (Asymptomatic Carotid Surgery Trial) or SPACE2 (Stent-Protected Angioplasty versus Carotid Endarterectomy) trials will prove otherwise, by predominantly treating symptomatic patients, and offering both men and women CEA as treatment of first choice.

References

- 1 Alamowitch S, Eliasziw M, Barnett HJM. The risk and benefit of endarterectomy in women with symptomatic internal carotid artery disease. *Stroke* 2005;**36**:27–31.
- 2 Mosca L, Barrett-Connor E, Wenger NK. Sex/gender differences in cardiovascular disease prevention. *Circulation* 2011;**124**:2145–54.
- 3 Rothwell PM, Eliasziw M, Gutnikov SA, Warlow CP, Barnett HJM. Effect of endarterectomy for symptomatic carotid stenosis in relation to clinical subgroups and to timing of surgery. *Lancet* 2004;**363**:915–24.
- 4 Rothwell PM. Which subgroups will benefit most from carotid endarterectomy? *Lancet* 2004;**364**:1122–3.
- 5 Bond R, Rerkasem K, Cuffe R, Rothwell PM. A systematic review of the associations between age and sex and the operative risks of carotid endarterectomy. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2005;**20**:69–77.
- 6 Bisdas T, Egorova N, Moskowitz AJ, Sosunov EA, Marin ML, Faries PL, et al. The impact of sex on in-hospital outcomes after carotid endarterectomy or stenting. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2012.
- 7 Rockman CB, Garg K, Jacobowitz GR, Berger JS, Mussa FF, Cayne NS, et al. Outcome of carotid artery interventions among female patients, 2004 to 2005. *J Vasc Surg* 2011;**53**:1457–65.
- 8 Howard VJ, Lutsep HL, Mackey A, Demaerschalk BM, Sam II AD, Gonzales NR, et al. Influence of sex on outcomes of stenting versus endarterectomy: a subgroup analysis of the CREST trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2011;**10**:530–7.

DOI of original article: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2012.06.009.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: G.J.deBorst-2@umcutrecht.nl (G.J. de Borst).