Pre-Operative Moderate to Severe Chronic Kidney Disease is Associated with Worse Short-Term and Mid-Term Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Fenestrated-Branched Endovascular Aortic Repair
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WHAT THIS PAPER ADDS
Analysis of 202 consecutive patients treated with fenestrated-branched endovascular repair (F-BEVAR) for pararenal and thoraco-abdominal aortic aneurysms (PRAA/TAAA) and to assess the association between pre-operative moderate to severe chronic kidney disease (CKD) and post-operative outcomes.

Objective: To review experience of fenestrated-branched endovascular aortic repair (F-BEVAR) for pararenal/thoraco-abdominal aortic aneurysms (PRAA/TAAA) and to assess the association between pre-operative moderate to severe chronic kidney disease (CKD) and post-operative outcomes.

Methods: All consecutive patients undergoing (elective and non-elective) F-BEVAR at a single centre (1 January 2011 – 1 July 2019) were identified. Renal function was calculated as the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease formula. Accordingly, presence of moderate to severe CKD was defined as eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m².

Results: Overall, 202 consecutive patients (mean age 72 ± 8 years; 25% women) underwent F-BEVAR for the treatment of PRAA/TAAA during the study period. Of these, 51 had a history of moderate to severe CKD (none on chronic haemodialysis). No statistically significant differences were found in demographics and major comorbidities between patients with or without a history of CKD. The overall peri-operative mortality rate was 2%, without statistically significant differences between study groups (p = .26). Patients with prior CKD had statistically significantly higher rates of acute kidney injury (AKI) (37% vs. 12%, p < .001). At three years, overall survival was statistically significantly lower in patients with history of CKD compared with those without pre-operative CKD (57% vs. 82%, p = .010). Similarly, freedom from renal function decline at three years was statistically significantly poorer in patients with prior history of CKD compared with those without pre-operative CKD (43% vs. 80%, p = .020). In a multivariable analysis CKD was independently associated with higher odds of peri-operative AKI (OR 2.8, 95% CI 1.9 – 5.8, p = .030), renal function decline (OR 4.9, 95% CI 1.7 – 9.2, p = .003), and all cause mortality (HR 3.2, 95% CI 1.2 – 8.6, p = .020).

Conclusion: Despite low peri-operative mortality rates that are comparable to patients with unimpaired renal function, occurrence of AKI was statistically significantly higher in subjects with pre-existing moderate to severe CKD. History of CKD was independently associated to renal function decline and poorer midterm survival.
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INTRODUCTION
Endovascular techniques have continued to gain popularity over the last two decades, mainly because of their reduced invasiveness compared with open surgical repair, and especially in high risk surgical candidates.1,2 Fenestrated-branched endovascular aortic repair (F-BEVAR) is a less
invasive method to treat pararenal (PRAA) and thoracoabdominal (TAAA) aneurysms, which is now regarded as the first line treatment for repair of complex aortic pathology in patients with suitable anatomy, even more so in those deemed unsuitable for open surgical repair because of high comorbidity burden and poor health status.\textsuperscript{3,4} The avoidance of prolonged visceral and renal ischaemia during the procedure may reduce the morbidity that ensues from bowel ischaemia and acute kidney injury (AKI) after open surgical repair of extensive aortic disease, which will in turn substantially increase peri-operative morbidity.

From prior studies, it is known that presence of pre-operative chronic kidney disease (CKD) may significantly worsen the early and late outcomes after both open or endovascular treatment of aortic disease.\textsuperscript{5–7} Currently, there is a paucity of data that have examined the impact of pre-operative CKD on early and late morbidity and mortality after complex endovascular aortic procedures. However, recent studies have suggested that although a major contraindication for open surgical repair, baseline renal dysfunction may not be as prohibitive a risk factor for endovascular repair in patients with history of CKD.\textsuperscript{8}

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to review experience with F-BEVAR for PRAA/TAAA and to assess the association between pre-operative moderate to severe CKD and post-operative outcomes in the short and midterm compared with patients with unimpaired renal function.

**METHODS**

**Study design**

Ethical approval was received for this retrospective study. All consecutive patients treated between 1 January 2011 and 1 July 2019 who received F-BEVAR for PRAA/TAAA were identified. Patient information was retrieved retrospectively, including baseline demographics and clinical characteristics, operative details, peri-operative outcomes, and follow up data. Details on surgical technique and follow up protocols at the study institution have been published previously.\textsuperscript{9} Follow up consisted of computed tomography angiography (CTA) within one month of the index intervention and at one year thereafter in the absence of technical defects using CTA or non-enhanced CT with duplex ultrasound of the visceral and aorto-iliac territory in patients with normal or impaired renal function, respectively.

The main exposure variable for this study was presence of pre-operative moderate to severe CKD. All patients had pre-operative renal function assessment. Renal function was calculated as the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease formula; this equation has been studied extensively and is the preferred method of estimating GFR.\textsuperscript{10} Presence of moderate to severe CKD was defined as eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m\textsuperscript{2}, while patients with eGFR ≥ 60 mL/min/1.73 m\textsuperscript{2} were considered to have normal renal function. CKD was grouped into stages of severity; the CKD stages developed by the National Kidney Foundation were used in this study.\textsuperscript{11} Accordingly, CKD stages III, IV, and V were defined as eGFR values of 30 – 60, 15 – 30, and < 15, respectively.

**Definitions and endpoints**

The primary endpoints for this study were peri-operative acute kidney injury (AKI) and overall survival. To ensure 100% survival follow up, mortality was assessed by cross linkage of data using the unique personal identification number of each study individual with the national Swedish population registry. AKI was classified using the RIFLE system proposed by the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative group for patients with and without CKD. This classification system stages acute renal dysfunction into five grades: Risk (R), Injury (I), Failure (F), Loss (L), and End stage (E).\textsuperscript{12}

Secondary endpoints included peri-operative major adverse events (MAE) and access site complications, freedom from renal function decline, renal artery (RA) primary patency, and freedom from re-interventions. MAE were defined as per Society for Vascular Surgery reporting standards: cumulative endpoint of any cause mortality, myocardial infarction, new onset congestive heart failure, blood loss > 1 L, AKI, stroke, spinal cord ischaemia, or bowel ischaemia.\textsuperscript{13} According to prior studies, renal function decline was defined differently for patients with and without CKD.\textsuperscript{14} For those without baseline CKD, renal function decline was defined as a drop in GFR to < 60 mL/min/1.73/m\textsuperscript{2} (i.e. progression to CKD stage 3 or higher). For patients with baseline CKD, an eGFR decline > 20% or progression in CKD stage (e.g. from stage 3 to stage 4) was considered as renal function decline. Loss of RA patency was diagnosed when thrombosis of the target vessel and/or bridging stent graft was noted, or there was radiological evidence of significant stenosis requiring re-intervention.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables were tested for normality, with results reported as frequencies for categorical variables, and mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median with interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables. The Pearson’s chi square or Fisher’s exact test were used for analysis of categorical variables. Differences between means were tested with two sided Student t test, or Wilcoxon rank sum test. Time dependent outcomes were reported using life tables and presented as Kaplan–Meier curves with standard error < 10%. Differences were determined by the log rank test. As a higher rate of AKI was expected in patients with history of CKD, and it was hypothesised that this could represent a collinear risk factor for loss of renal function and reduced survival, a sensitivity analysis was performed after removing patients with peri-operative AKI from life tables. Also, given that it was anticipated that loss of RA patency could represent an independent predictor for worsening renal function over time, a further sensitivity analysis was performed after excluding patients with any loss of primary RA patency from life tables.

Binary outcomes were evaluated first by univariable methods, with results reported as odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). A multiple logistic regression
A model was built including significant covariables and confounders based on univariable screen or because of clinical significance. Stepwise backward selection was then performed with a removal criterion of .05 and the resulting parsimonious model was not statistically significantly different from the full model (likelihood ratio test, $p = .91$). The model discrimination, measured by the area under the operating curve, was 0.66 and the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit was not significant ($p = .91$). Multivariable Cox proportional hazards was used to assess independent predictors for any cause mortality with results reported as hazard ratio (HR) with 95% CI. Covariables for these models were selected based on previously described risk factors and the univariable screen of all available potential confounders, using backwards selection with a criterion of 0.25 to stay in the final models. The final models were tested for violation of proportional hazards assumptions using Schoenfeld residuals.

The outcomes of interest were analysed in the whole cohort of treated patients, but subgroup analyses were carried out to evaluate separately the primary patency rates of renal fenestrations vs. renal branches, and in patients undergoing repair for PRAA vs. patients undergoing repair for TAAA. Statistical significance was defined as a $p$ value $< .050$. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistical software version 24.0.

**RESULTS**

**Study cohort**

Overall, 202 consecutive patients (mean age 72 ± 8 years; 25% women) underwent F-BEVAR for treatment of PRAA/
TAA during the study period. Of these, 51 had a prior history of moderate to severe CKD and the vast majority (n = 49) were in stage III; only two patients were in stage IV/V (none on chronic haemodialysis). While there was a progressive increase in the total number of F-BEVAR procedures per year, the percentage of CKD cases remained stable over time (Supplementary Fig. S1).

At baseline, no significant differences were found in the distribution of age, sex, and major comorbidities between patients without prior history of CKD compared with subjects with known renal dysfunction (Table 1). Patients with pre-operative CKD had a statistically significantly higher body mass index compared with those without a history of CKD (28 ± 6 vs. 26 ± 4, p = .020), as well as being

### Table 2. Operative details in 202 patients who underwent fenestrated-branched endovascular aortic repair stratified on the basis of normal baseline renal function (n = 151) or pre-operative chronic kidney disease (CKD) (n = 51)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No pre-operative CKD (n = 151)</th>
<th>Pre-operative CKD (n = 51)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective</td>
<td>131 (87)</td>
<td>42 (82)</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-elective</td>
<td>20 (13)</td>
<td>9 (18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General anaesthesia</td>
<td>149 (99)</td>
<td>49 (96)</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staged repair</td>
<td>19 (13)</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prophylactic spinal drain</td>
<td>56 (37)</td>
<td>18 (35)</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only fenestrations</td>
<td>105 (70)</td>
<td>35 (68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only branches</td>
<td>34 (22)</td>
<td>13 (25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both fenestrations and branches</td>
<td>12 (8)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom made</td>
<td>119 (80)</td>
<td>41 (80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off the shelf</td>
<td>28 (18)</td>
<td>7 (14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician modified</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target vessels – n</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 4 target vessels</td>
<td>64 (42)</td>
<td>16 (31)</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRA stent diameter – mm</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRA stent diameter – mm</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal landing zone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal thoracic</td>
<td>41 (27)</td>
<td>13 (25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid thoracic</td>
<td>19 (13)</td>
<td>9 (17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal thoracic</td>
<td>91 (60)</td>
<td>29 (56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percutaneous access</td>
<td>85 (56)</td>
<td>22 (43)</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachial access</td>
<td>47 (31)</td>
<td>18 (35)</td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median operating time (IQR) – min</td>
<td>354 (224)</td>
<td>372.5 (234)</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median fluoroscopy time (IQR) – min</td>
<td>101.5 (61)</td>
<td>114.5 (77)</td>
<td>.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median contrast volume (IQR) – mL</td>
<td>195 (108)</td>
<td>180 (118)</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as n (%) or mean ± standard deviation unless stated otherwise. RRA = right renal artery; LRA = left renal artery; IQR = interquartile range.

### Table 3. Early outcomes (< 30 d or in hospital) in 202 patients who underwent fenestrated-branched endovascular aortic repair stratified on the basis of normal baseline renal function (n = 151) or pre-operative chronic kidney disease CKD (n = 51)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No pre-operative CKD (n = 151)</th>
<th>Pre-operative CKD (n = 51)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any major adverse event</td>
<td>74 (49)</td>
<td>35 (69)</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute kidney injury</td>
<td>18 (12)</td>
<td>19 (37)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New dialysis</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>8 (15)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory failure</td>
<td>14 (7)</td>
<td>8 (15)</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New onset CHF</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel ischaemia</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>5 (9)</td>
<td>.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated blood loss &gt; 1 L</td>
<td>66 (44)</td>
<td>23 (45)</td>
<td>.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal cord injury</td>
<td>10 (6)</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access site complications</td>
<td>17 (12)</td>
<td>9 (17)</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned re-interventions</td>
<td>27 (18)</td>
<td>13 (25)</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as n (%). CHF = congestive heart failure.
statistically significantly more likely to be obese (35% vs. 17%, \( p = .008 \)). Also, the proportion of patients with a solitary functioning kidney was statistically significantly greater in patients with pre-operative CKD (29% vs. 8%, \( p < .001 \)). Most patients in both groups were treated electively (82% vs. 87%, \( p = .61 \)).

Operative details and peri-operative morbidity

Procedural metrics and operative details, including extent of aortic disease, operating time, fluoroscopy time, and contrast volume, were not statistically significantly different between groups (Table 2).

The overall peri-operative mortality rate was 2% (elective: 1%; non-elective: 7%), without statistically significant differences between groups (\( p = .26 \)).

Patients with history of CKD had significantly more peri-operative MAE compared with subjects without prior CKD (69% vs. 49%, \( p = .010 \); Table 3). Analysis of individual MAE showed that patients with prior CKD had statistically significantly higher rates of AKI (37% vs. 12%, \( p < .001 \)), new onset temporary dialysis (15% vs. 4%, \( p < .001 \)), and bowel ischaemia (9% vs. 2%, \( p = .020 \)). Non-elective repair (OR 4.5, 95% CI 1.3 – 7.2, \( p = .010 \)), history of CKD (OR 2.8, 95% CI 1.9 – 5.8, \( p < .001 \)), and age \( \geq 80 \) (OR 2.7, 95% CI 1.5 – 4.4, \( p = .010 \)) were independently associated with post-operative AKI on multivariable analysis (Supplementary Table S1).

Survival, renal function decline, renal artery patency, and re-interventions

The duration of follow up was similar between patients with and without baseline CKD (mean 32.8 months, 95% CI 27.6 – 36.0 vs. mean 33.6 months, 95% CI 26.5 – 35.6; \( p = .13 \)).

At three years, overall survival was statistically significantly lower in patients with a prior history of CKD compared with those without pre-operative CKD (57% vs. 82%, \( p = .010 \); Fig. 1). These results of the initial model were confirmed in sensitivity analysis after excluding those who developed peri-operative AKI (Supplementary Fig. S2). In the subgroup of patients with uninterrupted RA primary patency, statistically significantly lower survival was still
noted in subjects with baseline CKD compared with those with normal baseline renal function (at three years: 60% vs. 81%, \(p = .030\)). When assessing patients undergoing repair for PRAA or TAAA separately, the results remained consistent, with a trend towards lower three year survival in patients with pre-operative CKD (PRAA: CKD 63% vs. non-CKD 81%, \(p = .090\); TAAA: CKD: 43% vs. non-CKD: 81%, \(p = .050\)). On multivariable analysis, female sex (HR 2.1, 95% CI 1.3 – 3.7, \(p = .003\)), history of CKD (HR 3.2, 95% CI 1.2 – 8.6, \(p < .001\)), ASA class > 3 (HR 2.5, 95% CI 1.2 – 5.1, \(p = .001\)), and age ≥ 80 (HR 2.8, 95% CI 1.6 – 5.1, \(p = .020\)) were independently associated with all cause mortality (Supplementary Table S2).

Freedom from renal decline at three years was statistically significantly lower in patients with a prior history of CKD compared with those without pre-operative CKD (43% vs. 80%, \(p = .020\); Fig. 2). The results of the initial model were confirmed in sensitivity analysis after excluding those who developed peri-operative AKI (Supplementary Fig. S3). In the subgroup of patients with uninterrupted RA primary patency, statistically significantly lower freedom from renal function decline was still noted in subjects with baseline CKD compared with those with normal baseline renal function (at three years: 48% vs. 82%, \(p = .001\)). When assessing patients undergoing repair for PRAA or TAAA separately, the results remained consistent, with a trend towards lower three year freedom from renal decline for patients with pre-operative CKD (PRAA: CKD 54% vs. non-CKD 82%, \(p = .050\); TAAA: CKD: 44% vs. non-CKD: 69%, \(p = .050\)). On multivariable analysis, history of CKD (HR 4.9, 95% CI 1.7 – 9.2, \(p = .003\)), post-operative AKI (HR 2.8, 95% CI 1.9 – 8.7, \(p = .030\)), female gender (HT 2.3, 95% CI 1.1 – 5.2, \(p = .004\)), and loss of RA patency (HR 4.4, 95% CI 1.2 – 7.5, \(p = .001\)) were independently associated with renal function decline (Supplementary Table S3).

No statistically significant differences were found in the three year estimates of RA primary patency (CKD: 77% vs. non-CKD: 87%, \(p = .10\); Fig. 3) and freedom from re-interventions (70% vs. 73%, \(p = .59\); Fig. 4) between study groups. No significant differences were found in the three year estimates of RA primary patency between renal fenestrations vs. renal branches (89% vs. 69%, respectively; \(p = .12\)) and freedom from re-interventions (84% vs. 68%, respectively; \(p = .090\)).

**DISCUSSION**

As technology continues to evolve, so does the ability to treat complex aortic pathology with a total endovascular approach such as F-BEVAR, which is currently regarded as the first line option for treatment of PRAA/TAAA at high volume dedicated centres, and is also endorsed by current clinical practice guidelines. Moving the bar towards optimisation of early and late results, coupled with the need for optimisation of cost effectiveness of treatment, there is a need for a better understanding of how health status can impact outcomes to provide appropriate patient selection during decision making and individualise follow up algorithms. In that sense, CKD remains a prevalent pathological condition among patients with aortic aneurysms, and pre-operative assessment of kidney function is recommended in all patients undergoing endovascular treatment with referral to a renal physician when significant renal impairment is detected.

The main findings from this study, reporting a single centre nine year experience with F-BEVAR for treatment of PRAA/TAAA in 202 consecutive patients, were that history of pre-operative moderate to severe CKD was strongly and independently associated with worse clinical outcomes in the immediate post-operative period as well as during follow up. Indeed, patients with baseline CKD had significantly higher rates of peri-operative AKI, as well as lower survival and increased risk of renal function worsening in the long term.

These results are largely comparable with those reported in other recent series on this topic, although some differences must be noted. Khoury and colleagues reported that F-BEVAR is an effective and safe procedure for patients with complex aortic disease even among patients with CKD, but that worsening of renal function was more frequent among patients with pre-existing CKD. However, they did not find significant mortality among subjects with prior renal dysfunction. The study did not report any significant difference in the frequency of post-operative AKI between patients with or without history of CKD. Also, Cajas-Monson and associates showed that advanced CKD (i.e., stage 4–5) was not a major risk factor for lower survival during midterm follow up. While these results would encourage extended application of F-BEVAR even to CKD patients, some notable differences between these studies and the present research must be noted. Indeed, follow up in both...
studies was shorter, thereby potentially hindering evaluation of clinical outcomes over the long term. Also, both studies excluded some notable categories of patients (such as those undergoing urgent/emergency repair, and those with solitary functioning kidney) that might be at higher risk of worse renal outcomes. These differences may partly explain some of the differences noted with the present findings. Although the present study included only a few patients (n = 2) with end stage CKD (i.e., NKF-QDOQI stage 4–5), and caution should be exercised before drawing any strong conclusions, given the observation that patients with already moderate CKD had significantly worse post-operative outcomes compared with those with normal baseline renal function, it is unlikely that those with even more advanced renal dysfunction would fare better. As noted by Gallitto et al. who recently reported that presence of CKD represents a significant risk factor for worse outcomes in the setting of elective F-BEVAR but also in that of acute interventions,18 its clinical meaning would be different in these two clinical scenarios. Indeed, while in the setting of elective F-BEVAR the detection of CKD should be considered in the decision making process, in the setting of acute interventions it should be seen as a marker for increased odds of post-operative complications in a higher risk patient.

As shown in prior research, AKI remains one of the most common peri-operative complications after F-BEVAR for PRAA/TAAA.19,20 Although certainly multifactorial in nature, the rate of AKI was higher in patients with baseline CKD, with advanced age and pre-existing renal function impairment as the main risk factors for its occurrence.20 Therefore, appropriate multimodal strategies for renal protection should target predominantly those subgroups of patients at higher pre-operative risk of this complication, with peri-operative intravenous hydration using 0.9% saline, withdrawal of nephrotoxic drugs and minimisation of intra-operative iodinated contrast medium (ICM) being the mainstay in that sense. The use of image fusion technology is an important tool to reduce the need for large ICM volumes during complex EVAR.21 Additionally, use of CO₂ as contrast is a novel and promising tool for prevention of AKI, as recently shown in a case series of 15 FEVAR procedures performed with CO₂ angiography coupled with fusion imaging.22 The authors compared the post-operative outcome between these patients and those undergoing standard procedures and found that FEVAR with CO₂ allowed them to use a lower volume of ICM as well as to preserve post-operative renal function. Although these preliminary experiences of the use of CO₂ angiography during advanced EVAR showed very promising results, the technique must be further improved, standardised, and tested before it can be implemented into routine clinical practice.

Lastly, although previous studies have reported that AKI after endovascular procedures is associated with decreased short term and long term survival,18,23 whether it is causative or just associated with this phenomenon remains to be elucidated. In this study, AKI was not found to be an independent predictor of all cause mortality, while the sensitivity analysis confirmed that patients with baseline CKD had poorer mid-term life expectancy even after removal of early AKI events from the survival estimates. Therefore, although the main goal of the present study was not to develop a risk scoring tool for post-operative death after F-BEVAR, the results offer strong evidence that any degree of CKD should be regarded as a marker for worse prognosis in the long term and routinely incorporated into the clinical decision making process. The European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS) 2019 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Management of Abdominal Aorta-liac Artery Aneurysms16 suggest that elective repair should be considered primarily in patients with an expected survival of at least two to three years; in this context, it can be noted that patients with history of CKD had an estimated three year survival rate of 57% in the current cohort, thereby highlighting the relevant prognostic impact of renal function deterioration in the treatment algorithm. This might conceivably be the case also in patients with extensive thoraco-abdominal aortic pathology, who would require more complex repair, and future guidelines might further incorporate CKD among the tools used to evaluate the overall risk benefit ratio of the procedure, on top of perceived technical complexity and expected post-operative outcomes.

Chronic renal function worsening after endovascular aortic procedures is a well known phenomenon,24 described as occurring more frequently compared with open surgical repair.25 Nevertheless, prior studies have shown that complex endovascular repair does not impair renal function more (often) than open surgery for PRAA.26 Although limited in the ability to provide any definite explanation, it is likely that CKD per se was the culprit for the lower survival rates that were observed in patients with pre-existing renal function deterioration. Indeed, in their study of 275 patients who underwent standard EVAR (8% women; mean age 75 years; median follow up nine years), Charles et al. showed that almost half of those with normal baseline renal function would experience a > 20% reduction of eGFR in the years after the intervention, which was thereby strongly associated with increased long term mortality (odds ratio 3.3, p < .001).24 These results seem largely comparable with the present findings, which indicate that worsening renal function was independently linked to poorer survival in the long term. Given the much higher rates of renal function decline in patients with pre-existing CKD, it is reasonable to assume that history of CKD represents a significant marker for poorer long term survival as does any worsening of renal function that is encountered during follow up. Based on the study results, it is suggested that follow up after F/BEVAR should incorporate more structured assessment of renal function and especially so in patients with prior CKD.

Several risk factors for renal function deterioration following endovascular aortic interventions which are consistent with the present findings have been described previously,27,28 but it remains unclear whether this risk is intrinsic to the high risk profile of these patients, or
whether procedure related factors (including micro-
embolisation caused by intra-operative manipulation espe-
cially when concomitant shaggy aorta exists) might play a
substantial role.\textsuperscript{29,30} In that sense, in the present study,
renal function worsening was observed even in patients
with normal baseline status. Recently, Orrico \textit{et al.} showed
that use of branches was associated with a greater decrease
in the eGFR than use of fenestrations, which was already
evident on three month renal scintigraphy and stable at one
year, but the evolution and clinical significance of these data
still need to be documented.\textsuperscript{31} Therefore, although use of
fenestrations over branches might be warranted for incor-
poration of renal arteries based on these preliminary data,
help should always be borne in mind that selection of stent
graft design is often mandated by the need to accommo-
date the aortic anatomy at the level of target vessel origin.
In fact, durability of both fenestrations and branches after
complex EVAR procedures remains a debated issue,\textsuperscript{32}
even after the introduction of newer generation bridging stent
grafts\textsuperscript{33–35} and refined assessment of their geometrical
configuration,\textsuperscript{36–38} and future research should focus on risk
prediction models for loss of RA patency that could better
inform how to structure adequate follow up protocols.
Further refinement in technique and technology of complex
endovascular aortic repair might also contribute to improve
the patency rates of incorporated renal arteries.

Other mechanisms, including repeated contrast exposure
(either because of repeated imaging or secondary in-
terventions) and loss of RA patency, may be reasonably
linked to renal function decline during long term follow up.
Nevertheless, after multivariable adjustments baseline CKD
remained a strong independent risk factor for accelerated
renal function worsening over time, and its worse prog-
nostic impact was further confirmed using \textit{ad hoc} sensitivity
and subgroup analyses. Post-operative AKI and RA occlusion
were the other independent predictors of renal function
worsening and, although unable to precisely assess their
interplay with CKD, it is reasonable to assume that patients
with already impaired kidney function would probably
benefit from stricter protocols focused on prevention of
immediate renal damage after the intervention, assurance
of unrestricted renal perfusion, and incorporation of serial
renal function evaluation especially when lower RA target
patency rates might be anticipated on the basis of chal-
leging RA anatomy (including small, angulated, or diseased
vessels).\textsuperscript{39,40}

\textbf{Study limitations}

The findings from this study must be interpreted within the
context of its inherent limitations. First, the study was
retrospective, with relatively small sample size and limited
follow up. Nevertheless, it reported on \textgreater\textsuperscript{200} consecutive
patients treated over nine years with a median follow up of
almost three years, which makes the results clinically
meaningful and comparable with prior studies. Not all pa-
ients included in the study received renal function
assessment during follow up, as this was estimated in
retrospectively and only in those surviving at least six
months after the index procedure with at least one creati-
nine examination available for review. However, the pro-
portion of patients available for this analysis was comparable
between study groups (baseline CKD: 53\% vs.
non-baseline CKD: 58\%; \(p = .43\)) and therefore unlikely to
influence study results. Furthermore, the study cohort
represents a well selected group of CKD patients as not all
those with renal function decline and aortic aneurysmal
disease were offered repair. As no information was available
on patients who were denied repair, this study is not
representative of the entire population of patients with CKD
and aortic aneurysm pathology. Also, the extremely low
number of patients with very advanced CKD (i.e. stage 4–5)
at baseline did not allow any specific subanalysis for this
group. However, it is reasonable that clinical outcomes
would not be better in subjects with more advanced
baseline renal dysfunction, which may further strengthen
the relevance of the findings. Lastly, although attempts
were made to correct for known confounders using multi-
variable analysis, it remains possible that some unmeasured
confounders might have remained. The results were
confirmed using multiple sensitivity and subgroup analyses
(although the absence of statistically significant differences
in the latter could be attributed to type II error because of
lack of statistical power given the smaller sample sizes that
were available within subgroups), thereby strengthening
the association between baseline CKD and poorer clinical
outcomes in the short and midterm after F-BEVAR.

\textbf{Conclusion}

Although F-BEVAR for treatment of PRAA/TAAA in patients
with baseline moderate to severe CKD enjoys low peri-
operative mortality rates that are comparable with pa-
tients with unimpaired renal function, occurrence of AKI
was significantly higher in subjects with pre-existing CKD.
Furthermore, history of CKD was independently associated
to renal function decline and poorer survival at midterm
follow up. Therefore, presence of pre-operative moderate to
severe CKD must be considered during decision making, and
efforts made to optimise outcomes in the immediate peri-
operative period as well as in the long term.
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